

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,459	01/22/2002	Hans Beer	2265/50685	6980	
23911	7590 04/28/2006	•	EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
P.O. BOX 1		r	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	ΓON, DC 20044-4300	•	1743	•	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/051,45	9	BEER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Lyle A. Ale		1743	· ·	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THE 136(a). In no ever will apply and will apply a	IIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be till Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is n nce except	on-final. for formal matters, pr	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from coi				
Application Papers			. •		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) drawing(s) b tion is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C	· ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have bee ts have bee ority docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this Nationa	I Stage	
		•			
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:)ate	'O-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summa	ry P	art of Paper No./Mail [Date 20060426	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,459

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beer et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 11/10/05 final rejection for the teachings of Beer et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The 2/10/06 1.132 Declaration by Dr. Beer is appreciated and has been fully considered. Dr. Beer has expressed his opinion there would be no motivation to modify Beer et al. as in the above 35 USC 103 rejection. Dr. Beer states the instant invention is not an optimization, as concluded by the Office, but a completely new approach to membrane production. Dr. Beer's opinion is highly respected by the Office, but not insufficient to overcome the rejections above. A more convincing 1.132 Declaration might be one that shows actual data reflecting the unexpected results. Exemplary might be a comparison of the test strip produced by the cited prior art and the test strip of the instant invention. If this comparison shows greater sensitivity, higher resolution, etc. is achieved by the instant invention as compared to Beer, it may be sufficient to show the results are unexpected and not a matter of optimization. It is noted that a 1.132 Declaration submitted after a final rejection is not considered timely.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,459

Art Unit: 1743

An affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Burckel, 592 F.2d 1175, 201 USPQ 67 (CCPA 1979). "A comparison of the claimed invention with the disclosure of each cited reference to determine the number of claim limitations in common with each reference, bearing in mind the relative importance of particular limitations, will usually yield the closest single prior art reference." In re Merchant, 575 F.2d 865, 868, 197 USPQ 785, 787 (CCPA 1978) (emphasis in original). The evidence relied *>upon< should establish "that the differences in results are in fact unexpected and unobvious and of both statistical and practical significance." Ex parte Gelles, 22 USPQ2d 1318, 1319 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) (Mere conclusions in appellants' brief that the claimed polymer had an unexpectedly increased impact strength "are not entitled to the weight of conclusions accompanying the evidence, either in the specification or in a declaration."); Ex parte C, 27 USPQ2d 1492 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) (Applicant alleged unexpected results with regard to the claimed soybean plant, however there was no basis for judging the practical significance of data with regard to maturity date. flowering date, flower color, or height of the plant.). See also In re Nolan, 553 F.2d 1261, 1267, 193 USPQ 641, 645 (CCPA 1977) and In re Eli Lilly, 902 F.2d 943, 14 USPQ2d 1741 (Fed. Cir. 1990) as discussed in MPEP § 716.02(c).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

